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The information in this presentation may include forward-looking statements, which are based on current expectations and projections about future events. These statements may include, without limitation, any statements preceded by, followed by or including words such as “target,” “expect,” “may,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “will,” and other words and terms of similar meaning or the negative thereof. These forward-looking statements, as well as those included in any other material discussed at the meeting, are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including, among other things, the development of Sibanye’s business, general economic conditions and actions of regulators. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the events in the forward-looking statements may not occur. No representation or warranty is made that any forward-looking statement will come to pass and no reliance should be placed on any forward-looking statement. No one undertakes to publicly update or revise any such forward-looking statement.
• The West Rand Tailings Retreatment Project (WRTRP) is a large-scale, long-life surface tailings retreatment opportunity, the economic viability of which was secured through the acquisition of the Cooke assets by Sibanye in 2014.

• The WRTRP will turn to account sizeable surface gold and uranium resources at Sibanye’s Kloof, Driefontein and Cooke operations – realising significant long-term value for shareholders – benefitting all stakeholders by creating sustainable employment, facilitating community development, contributing to the revenue and delivering environmental benefits.

• The project’s inherent flexibility and the extensive, detailed technical studies undertaken, allow for phased development and staging of capital, substantially reducing project risk.

• Process design has been historically proven at similar, existing operations – incorporating modern technology and world-leading environmental standards.

• Possible use of existing surface processing infrastructure provides additional flexibility.
Cooke surface resources were consolidated within Sibanye in 2014, creating the necessary critical mass and scale.

WRTRP has an eight-year history of development with ~R800m spent on technical studies.
Background

Independently, the Sibanye (ex. Gold Fields) and Cooke surface projects were not economically or environmentally viable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gold Fields (West Wits Project)*</th>
<th>Cooke Uranium Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drivers</strong></td>
<td><strong>Drivers</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Gold recovery</td>
<td>• Uranium recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Environmental remediation</td>
<td>• Uranium consolidation/growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Characteristics</strong></td>
<td><strong>Characteristics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sizable, high grade gold resources</td>
<td>• High grade uranium resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Uranium and sulphuric acid by-products</td>
<td>• Gold and sulphuric acid by-products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pros</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pros</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Scale and gold grade</td>
<td>• Scale and uranium grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Existing gold processing infrastructure</td>
<td>• Uranium processing infrastructure at Cooke 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cons</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cons</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Removal of uranium and sulphur from final tailings</td>
<td>• Limited life due to lower resource volumes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Not economic due to low uranium grades</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Excluding South Deep

Tailings retreatment projects have complementary synergies
Integrating projects and leveraging synergies

- High-grade Driefontein surface facilities deliver early cash flows
- High uranium grade and size of Cooke resources underpin value and justify construction of uranium processing plant
- Scale of combined West Wits surface resources can support a long life-annuity operation
- Phased development of central, high-volume processing facilities and single deposition site reduce risk
- Existing gold and uranium processing infrastructure can be used when appropriate
- Central positioning of metallurgical processing facility and deposition site relative to resources is cost effective
- Possible consolidation of district resources in the future – improving project Return On Invested Capital (ROIC) and providing regional environmental solution

Complementary assets reduce execution risk and unlock value
WRTRP – leveraged organic growth to deliver economic value with concurrent rehabilitation and provide sustainable social and environmental benefits

- Removal and remediation of existing tailings deposits currently located on sensitive dolomitic aquifers reduces future environmental liability and risk
  - single 1,350ha central tailings facility to be constructed away from sensitive dolomitic areas on less permeable substrate
  - removal of tailings facilities will reduce health risks for surrounding communities from possible exposure to dust

- Removal of sulphides by sulphuric acid plant to reduce acid mine drainage risk
- Currently impacted mine water to be re-used in the hydraulic mining process
- Excess water to be treated to potable drinking water standards

Early rehabilitation and closure reduces future environmental liabilities
WRTRP infrastructure plan

200km of pipeline infrastructure
Central processing plant (CPP) location

Modular design of CPP facilitates phased expansion
Regional tailings storage facility (RTSF) layout

RTSF to be developed in phases enabling phasing of capital
Plant modules developed in 1Mtpm stages to treat Driefontein, Kloof and Cooke clusters simultaneously

- Production of 3.5Moz of gold and 48Mlbs of uranium over LoM
- Peak production:
  - Gold: 210koz
  - Uranium: 2.5Mlbs
- Deposition site: capable of receiving peak throughput of up to 4Mtpm
Four “anchor” resources underpin project value

Resource portfolio
Uranium grade (x axis), gold grade (y axis), tonnage (bubble)

Four ‘anchor resources’ (30% of the Sibanye resource) fund initial capital

Cooke to ‘cover’ uranium capital

Driefontein to ‘cover’ gold capital

Balance of resource provides sustainability and scale; annuity income for 35+ years possible

Bubble size – tonnage (30Mt)
- Cooke surface assets
- Driefontein and Kloof surface assets

Pay limit dependent on metallurgical recoveries per dam

Capital recovered during phase one; potential 35-year annuity income thereafter
Preferred integrated gold and uranium approach
Total Sibanye resource of 715Mt includes ~6.5Moz Au and ~99Mlb U₃O₈

- “Get into business” approach focusing on four anchor resources reduces capital and risk:
  - 210Mt resource containing 2.4Moz gold and 53Mlbs uranium
  - Cooke Dump (CD) reclaimed simultaneously with Driefontein 3 and 5 facilities (DRI3 and DRI5) and Cooke 4 facility (C4S) over 18 years

- Monthly throughput of 1Mt from DRI3, DRI 5 and C4S and 400,000t from CD
- Annual steady state gold production: 100,000oz potentially peaking at 115,000oz
- Annual steady state uranium production: 2.2Mlbs potentially peaking at 2.5Mlbs
- Total expected production of 1.3Moz of gold and 33.4Mlbs of uranium over 18 years
- Combined expected capital investment of ~R9.6bn over three years

Note: DRI3, DRI5 - Driefontein 3/5 Dams, C4S - Cooke 4 South Dam and CD - Cooke Tailings Dams
Integrated approach (cont’d)

- R9.6bn initial regional financial investment
- Treat DRI3, DRI5 and C4S TSFs at 1Mtpm through the Central Processing Plant (CPP)
  - 50kt/m of high-grade gold and uranium concentrate from flotation of DRI3, DRI5 and C4S treated at CPP
- Treat uranium rich CD at 400ktpm
- Deposition onto RTSF at 1.4Mtpm

Significant social and environmental investment into declining West Rand

Note: DRI3, DRI5 - Driefontein 3/5 Dams, C4S – Cooke 4 South Dam and CD - Cooke Tailings Dams
Integrated approach (cont’d)

**Uranium design**

- 400ktpm Cooke TSF
- 450ktpm
- **Sulphide flotation**
- **Rougher/cleaner**
- ~18ktpm (Recleaner conc)
- **Roaster and acid plant**
- **Acid storage**
- 50ktpm

**Gold design**

- 1,000ktpm DRI3, DR15 and C4S
- **Sulphide flotation**
- **Oxide flotation**
- **RTSF**
- **Polish/fine grind**
- **New gold plant**
- **Cooke 4 U and Au plant**
- 150ktpm

Note: DRI3, DR15 - Driefontein 3/5 Dams, C4S – Cooke 4 South Dam and CD - Cooke Tailings Dams

Plug and play optionality: option to delay uranium integration
• Additional Sibanye-owned resources offer further life and leverage annuity potential
• Initial investment of R9.6bn (2017-2019) with first production expected in 2020

Driefontein 3,5, Old 4 Dam and Cooke Dam (Anchor Resources)

~210Mt containing 2.4Moz Au and ~54Mlbs U₃O₈

Anchor resources cover the capital
## Costs – integrated approach

Allocation of indirect capital costs offers funding flexibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opex</th>
<th>Gold</th>
<th>Uranium</th>
<th>Sulphuric acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Production over life</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moz</td>
<td>1.32*</td>
<td>33.37*</td>
<td>5.07*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kg</td>
<td>41,057</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rbn</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metrics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/kg</td>
<td>97,962</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US$/oz</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/kg</td>
<td>124,121</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US$/oz</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/kg</td>
<td>222,084</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US$/oz</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Shared capex (indirect costs) allocated to gold, uranium and acid modules
Exchange Rate: ZAR14.5: 1 US$
Funding solution – integrated approach

- Potential funding structure: 'Uranium off-take' solution with equity investor (EI) and 'build, own and operate' model for sulphuric acid with EPCM and third party distributor (TPD)

* Shared capex (indirect costs) allocated to gold, uranium and acid modules
“Get into business” design for 37% of the Sibanye-owned gold resource is significantly enhanced if uranium and sulphuric acid plants are funded by third parties

- Project valuation considers standalone project NPV; upside through annuity resource, tax shields and debt funding
- NPV of R4bn and IRR of 33% at a gold price of R600,000/kg with funding solution in place

Assuming Uranium price of US$45/lb from 2021 exchange rate: ZAR14.5: 1 US$

Securing financing significantly improves financial returns and viability of project
WRTRP
Delayed uranium capital execution
Total Sibanye resource of 715Mt includes ~6.5Moz Au and ~99Mlb U₃O₈

- “Get into business” approach focusing on three anchor resources reduces capital and risk:
  - 124Mt resource containing 1.6Moz gold and 18.7Mlbs uranium
  - DRI3 reclaimed first, followed by DRI5 and C4S over 11 years

- Throughput of 1Mtpm from DRI3, DRI 5 and C4S and delayed 400,000tpm from CD
- Annual steady state gold production: 90,000oz
- Annual steady state uranium production: 300,000lbs ramping up to 1Mlbs with C4S
- Total expected production of 860,000oz of gold and 6.1Mlbs of uranium over 11 years
- Combined capital investment of ~R4.6bn expected over two-year period
  - an expected delay to uranium and acid plant capital investment for 8 years until the life of the Cooke 4 North tailings dam reaches final height

Note: DRI3, DRI5 - Driefontein 3/5 Dams, C4S – Cooke 4 South Dam and CD - Cooke Tailings Dams
Delayed uranium capital execution (cont’d)

Prioritise the high-gold Driefontein complex

- Driefontein 3 and 5 TSFs and C4S reclaimed @ 1Mtpm
- 124Mt Sibanye resource contains 1.6Moz gold and 18.7Mlbs uranium
- Delay uranium and acid plant capital
- Opportunity to use existing surface infrastructure:
  - 180ktpm recently upgraded CIL plant currently treating surface rock material
  - Cooke 4 metallurgical plant

Gold execution strategy

- 1,000ktpm DRI3, DRI5 and C4S
- Oxide flotation
- Sulphide flotation
- 950ktpm to RTSF
- 150ktpm
- New Au plant CPP
- Cooke 4 U and Au plant
- Fine grind
- DP2/3 Au plant
- Cooke 4 U and Au plant
- 50ktpm to Ezulwini TSF
- 50ktpm to RTSF
Delayed uranium capital execution (cont’d)

- **R4.6bn initial regional financial investment**
- **Treat DRI3, DRI5 and C4S TSFs at 1Mt/m through the CPP**
  - 50ktpm of high grade gold and uranium concentrate from flotation of DRI3, DRI5 and C4S treated at CPP
- **Deposition onto RTSF at 950ktpm and 50ktpm onto active facility**

Note: DRI3, DRI5 - Driefontein 3/5 Dams, C4S - Cooke 4 South Dam and CD - Cooke Tailings Dams
### Cost of sales – delayed uranium capital execution

**Allocation of indirect capital costs offers funding flexibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Opex</strong></th>
<th><strong>Gold</strong></th>
<th><strong>Uranium</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production over life</td>
<td>koz</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>26,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capex</td>
<td>Rbn</td>
<td>4.43*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$m</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opex</td>
<td>Rm</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$m</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Metrics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Capex</strong></th>
<th>R/kg</th>
<th>165,610</th>
<th>R/lb</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US$/oz</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>US$/oz</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opex</strong></td>
<td>R/kg</td>
<td>189,353</td>
<td>R/lb</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US$/oz</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>US$/oz</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>R/kg</td>
<td>354,963</td>
<td>R/lb</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US$/oz</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>US$/lb</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Shared capex (indirect costs) allocated to gold, uranium and acid modules

Exchange Rate: ZAR14.5: 1 US$
• Additional Sibanye-owned annuity offers additional life
• Initial investment of R4.6bn (2017-2018) with expected production in 2019

“Anchor resources” cover the capital
Conclusion

• Combined, the Cooke and Sibanye surface resources and infrastructure enhance the economic viability and development of long-life, environmentally-beneficial surface retreatment project

• Low technical risk project with flexible design and implementation routes that provide valuable optionality pending commodity prices and funding solution
  – potential to phase uranium and acid plant later

• First phase of integrated approach covers 75% of total project capital requirement (30% of the resource)

• Project valuation considers standalone project; upside through potential of annuity resources, tax shields and debt funding

• Expected name plate production of 100,000oz gold and 2Mlbs uranium annually by the end of Q1 2020

• Continuation of front-end engineering design (FEED) while permitting and funding strategy continue
  – permits including approval from the NNR, DMR and DWS expected by Q3 2016
  – investigating alternative funding options that have the potential to enhance equity valuations